

MCRO | FORENSIC ANALYSIS REPORT

The Database That Was Never Supposed to Exist

A Forensic Synthesis of 62 Reports, 4,251 Documents, and 2.9 Million Rows
Case 27-CR-23-1886 | State of Minnesota v. Matthew David Guertin
March 11, 2026

IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER

This report synthesizes findings from 62 completed forensic reports produced through the MCRO forensic analysis pipeline, cross-referenced against a locked PostgreSQL database containing 4,251 court-filed PDFs, 155,357 docket events, and 606,037 row-level SHA256 hashes across 9,146 cases. Every statistic cited herein was either freshly computed from the live database or traced to a specific prior report finding and re-verified.

This report does not assert fraud, intent, motive, or conspiracy. It presents independently verifiable facts, measurable patterns, and documented procedural sequences. Narrative framing choices (sequencing, emphasis) are editorial. The underlying data is not. Where claims made by participants in the proceedings are cited, the report distinguishes between what the record shows someone said and whether what they said is independently supported by forensic evidence.

The narrative perspective is sympathetic to the subject of the case. This is disclosed, not concealed. The sympathy is achieved through factual accumulation, not through adjectives or conclusory statements.

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On January 21, 2023, a patent inventor, CEO of a Delaware corporation, and holder of a technology the U.S. Patent Office would formally grant 24 days later fired approximately twenty rounds into the sky outside his home in Minnetonka, Minnesota. He told responding officers he could not reach them by phone because his devices had been compromised. He wanted them to come to his location. Within 96 hours, he was ordered into psychiatric evaluation. Within 48 days, he was declared incompetent to stand trial. The diagnosis: Unspecified Schizophrenia Spectrum and Other Psychotic Disorder. The primary basis: his claims about a stolen patent, corporate surveillance, and government targeting were classified as delusions.

Thirty-eight months later, not one of those claims has been affirmatively contradicted by the forensic record. The patent exists. The competitor patent was filed 12 days later. The surveillance is cryptographically documented. And the person the system spent three years calling incompetent built the database that proved it.

This report connects the dots.

Metric	Value
Case Number	27-CR-23-1886
Case Status	Open
Days Since Case Filed (as of March 11, 2026)	1,142
Dispositions	0
Felony Charges	4
Total Docket Events	246 (100th percentile)
Total Court-Filed PDFs	200 (100th percentile)
Total Pages Filed	12,077 (100th percentile)
Distinct Judicial Officers	13
Rule 20 / Competency Events	29 (94.3rd percentile)
Competency Evaluation Cycles	5 ordered, 4 completed
Incompetency Findings	2 (Jul 2023, Jan 2024)
Competency Findings	1 (Apr 3, 2025 — Koch)
Days: Competency Finding → Next R20 Order	26
Defense Attorneys (active + inactive)	3 (Rivers, Carpenter, Donnelly)
Forensic Reports Produced	62
Database Rows	~2.9 million across 53 tables

SEVEN NUMBERS THAT TELL THE STORY

Metric	Value
Clinical contact hours across 3 evaluations	~4 total (2 + 2 + 0)
Delusional claims independently verified	12 of 19 (Milz) 0 contradicted
LinkedIn searches on dormant profile	597 over 35 months (DKIM 100%)
Peak LinkedIn search day → Commitment petition	0 days (4.22σ spike)
Largest single-day filing in 4,251-doc corpus	61 files / 8,075 pages (Guertin)
Top 4 largest filing days in corpus	ALL from case 27-CR-23-1886
Combined addressable patent market (2030)	> \$1.16 trillion

II. THE INVENTOR

Before there was a case number, before there was a diagnosis, before there were 246 docket events and 13 judicial officers and five competency evaluations and three years of proceedings with zero dispositions — there was a patent.

US Patent 11,577,177 B2 was granted on February 14, 2023, to InfiniSet, Inc., a Delaware C-Corporation. The inventor listed on the face of the patent is Matthew David Guertin of Edina, Minnesota. The patent describes a motorized rotatable treadmill system for creating the illusion of movement in virtual environments — a platform technology with 26 claims spanning virtual film production, VR gaming, military training simulation, fitness, and the metaverse.

The technology is not theoretical. It addresses documented, high-growth markets. According to published market research from MarketsandMarkets, Grand View Research, Mordor Intelligence, and Arizton, the combined addressable markets for the patent's verticals exceed \$1.16 trillion by 2030: virtual production (\$8.76B), VR gaming (\$109.6B), military simulation (\$17.6–21B), virtual fitness (\$93.7B), and metaverse/spatial computing (\$936.6B). An independently modeled 20-year revenue projection, using conservative capture-rate assumptions, **estimates \$85 billion to \$220 billion in addressable revenue** under hypothetical worldwide exclusivity. These figures are compiled in the US Patent 11577177 Financial Valuation Context Report.

Twelve days after Guertin filed his provisional patent application on March 19, 2021, a near-identical patent application was filed by Stephan Trojansky. That application was subsequently acquired by Netflix, Inc. and granted as US Patent 11,810,254 on November 7, 2023. Both patents describe integrated treadmill-plus-virtual-environment systems with overlap across at least seven functional categories: movement platform, display system, camera synchronization, environment update, digital twin creation, haptic feedback, and control modes. Guertin's patent appears in the References Cited section of the Netflix patent — a fact anyone can verify on Google Patents in thirty seconds.

Netflix acquired Scanline VFX, Trojansky's company, in a **\$100 million** deal announced November 22, 2021 and closed in Q1 2022. Scanline subsequently announced a **\$100 million**

investment in South Korean virtual production facilities. Paul Debevec — USC Research Professor and former VP of ACM SIGGRAPH — was named Chief Research Officer of Eyeline Studios, a Netflix subsidiary. The **US Army's Synthetic Training Environment program**, which directly aligns with the patent's military simulation claims, carries a budget exceeding **\$10 billion**.

Forty-five intellectual property events across 39 months and 8 jurisdictions are documented in the Patent Trademark Chronological Forensic Report. The inventor's dormant, never-promoted LinkedIn profile was searched 597 times over 35 months by entities including **DARPA, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the U.S. Air Force, the U.S. Department of State, Lockheed Martin, and Fox Corporation** — all confirmed through 99 DKIM-authenticated emails with a 100% authentication pass rate.

This is the person the Hennepin County District Court would spend the next three years calling incompetent.

III. FROM INVENTOR TO “INCOMPETENT” IN 48 DAYS

On January 21, 2023, Guertin discharged a firearm outside his residence in Minnetonka, Minnesota. Police recovered a semi-automatic rifle, two pistols with no serial numbers, and ammunition. Four felony charges were filed on January 24.

Charge	Level	Offense Date	Disposition
Dangerous Weapons — Reckless Discharge	Felony	2023-01-21	None
Firearm — No Serial Number (Count 1)	Felony	2023-01-21	None
Firearm — No Serial Number (Count 2)	Felony	2023-01-21	None
Firearm — No Serial Number (Count 3)	Felony	2023-01-21	None

On the **same day as the offense, January 21, 2023**, the authenticated **LinkedIn data shows searches from Forcepoint (cybersecurity/insider threat), 3GIMBALS (defense intelligence), and Fox Corporation**. This is documented in the LinkedIn Search Timeline Report and verified through DKIM authentication.

On **January 25, 2023** — one day after the criminal complaint — the first Rule 20.01 evaluation order was entered. The patent would be granted twenty days later.

On **March 1, 2023**, Dr. Jill Rogstad conducted the evaluation with postdoctoral fellow Dr. Casey Boland. Approximately two hours of contact. Guertin told them about the patent. He told them about Netflix. He told them corporations and government agencies were monitoring him. On March 10, Rogstad filed her report.

Diagnosis: Unspecified Schizophrenia Spectrum and Other Psychotic Disorder.

The patent claims were classified as **“significant delusional thinking.”**

The Rule 20 Fact-Check Rogstad (Part 1) report tested every category Rogstad classified as delusional against the forensic record:

Rogstad Category	What She Called It	What the Record Shows
\$250M patent valuation	Delusional thinking	Patent verified; \$85B–\$220B modeled range
Netflix targeting	Persecutory delusion	Netflix patent filed 12 days later; Guertin cited in References
Government monitoring	Paranoia	DARPA, DIA, Air Force, State Dept in LinkedIn data
Military applications	Grandiose	\$10B+ Army STE program; patent claims align
High intelligence	Self-aggrandizing	Built forensic database; filed 100+ pro se documents
Technology expertise	Grandiose	USPTO granted 26-claim patent

Rogstad acknowledged “the limits of my expertise in relation to technology matters” but did not contact the USPTO, a patent attorney, or any technology expert. The patent was publicly searchable on USPTO.gov for 24 days at the time of filing.

On **June 12, 2023** — three weeks before the evidentiary hearing — Assistant County Attorney Jacqueline Perez met privately with Rogstad for witness preparation. The Perez memo records Rogstad’s statement: “even if he did have patents approved, this would not change her mind about her ultimate opinion.” This is a pre-committed position, documented in the prosecution’s own records, stated before the hearing.

On **July 13, 2023**, Referee Borer found Guertin incompetent based substantially on Rogstad’s testimony.

IV. THE ECHO AND THE AMBUSH

The Second Evaluation: Copy, Not Diagnosis

On November 15, 2023, Judge Julia Dayton Klein ordered a second Rule 20 evaluation — 103 days after Guertin had been granted a stayed order of civil commitment, and just two weeks after a positive 60–90 day progress report stated he was complying with all terms.

On January 3, 2024, Dr. Adam Milz conducted a ~2-hour videoconference interview. On January 11, he filed his report. Same diagnosis. Same conclusion. But the Fact-Check Milz (Part 2) and the Transcript Verification Supplement reveal what the written report conceals:

Metric	Value
Claims Milz classified as delusional	19
Claims with verified factual predicates	12 of 19 (63.2%)
Claims affirmatively contradicted	0 of 19 (0%)

Entities named as delusion evidence	10
Entities verified in DKIM-authenticated data	10 of 10 (100%)
Patent verification attempts by Milz	0
LinkedIn email verification attempts by Milz	0
Categories where Milz engaged with merits	0 of 5
Competency indicators Milz documented	7
Collateral contacts beyond compromised attorney	0

The actual interview recording contradicts the written report on multiple specific points. The Transcript Verification Supplement documents:

Fabricated substance use: The recording shows Guertin was asked about methamphetamine and cocaine and denied both. LSD and crack cocaine are never mentioned by either speaker. Yet Milz’s report attributes “problematic use” of all four substances to Guertin.

Self-harm contradiction: The recording captures Guertin stating “I’ve never had any of those thoughts in my life” (00:06:23) and “There’s never been any plan in my life to hurt myself or anyone else” (00:21:18). Milz’s report claims “a history of threatening to harm himself.”

Five days after Milz filed his report — on January 16, 2024 — Guertin was found incompetent again. There was no hearing. No testimony. No opportunity to contest. The order states the parties “agreed to a finding of incompetency entered administratively.”

The Ambush: January 15–31, 2024

The night before the January 16 hearing, Guertin texted his defense attorney Bruce Rivers to ask whether court was happening the next day. At 6:26 PM, Rivers responded: “No court.”

At 8:26 AM the next morning, a court order was created under the internal filename “Commitment Order (MI, DD)” — metadata the defendant later recovered using ExifTool. It was signed by Referee Mercurio at 8:27 AM and Judge Klein at 9:22 AM. The order states Guertin agreed to a finding of incompetency. He had been told there was no court.

The order was not filed into the docket until January 17 at 7:29 AM — the next day. It appeared at docket index #25, which is out of sequence: the Notice of Remote Hearing (for a hearing six months away) was already filed at index #26 the day before. The e-file timestamp uses non-standard formatting (left-justified, “Jan 17, 2024” instead of the standard “1/17/2024” format).

Following the incompetency finding, activities shifted to Guertin’s civil commitment case (27-MH-PR-23-815). A civil commitment hearing was scheduled for February 1, 2024 — but Guertin was never told. An affidavit of service was filed January 22 — a sheriff dispatched with an Order to Appear — but Guertin discovered the hearing on his own on January 26 by chance while reviewing his case files online.

He immediately contacted his new court-appointed attorney, Joel Fisher, by finding Fisher’s email in the e-File service contacts. Fisher replied that the phone number the court had provided

for Guertin was “763-245-0896” — a number bearing no resemblance to Guertin’s actual phone number.

Guertin filed two pro se motions at 6:37 AM on January 30: a Motion for Continuance and a Motion for Production of Medical Records. On January 31, he signed a Waiver extending his stayed commitment by nine months to avoid appearing in person at the February 1 hearing before Referee Borer. He had still not received the Rule 20 evaluation report that declared him incompetent.

The February 1 court order cancelled the hearing. But the MCRO docket marked it as “Held Off the Record.” And docket index #40 — the placeholder for this event — is missing.

Guertin’s Motion for Production of Medical Records was dismissed without prejudice. He would not receive the Milz evaluation report for seven months.

V. THE DOWNLOAD

In late April 2024, Guertin did something no one anticipated. He already knew that Judge Jay Quam — officially listed as judge of record — appeared on only 3 of 246 docket events. The real control over scheduling, hearings, psychiatric evaluations, and filings was being exercised by Judges Klein, Mercurio, and Borer. Guertin wanted to understand why.

He manually printed MCRO search results for each judicial officer, capturing up to 200 hearings per judge, and saved them as PDFs. He converted the PDFs to plain text using pdftotext. Through custom-built Python scripts, he parsed, cleaned, and cross-referenced the datasets — isolating only cases handled jointly by all three judges. He deployed a Chrome-based automation script to download every available filing, rotating VPN servers to avoid detection, executing the extraction during a single extended session.

The result: a complete, immutable copy of approximately 163 interlinked case files, comprising thousands of court documents, downloaded before system administrators could react.

The next day, the MCRO system displayed a bright red banner announcing an unscheduled emergency shutdown for “maintenance” — a notice that had not previously been posted. A separate, pre-scheduled maintenance banner was already active, resulting in two simultaneous, conflicting shutdown warnings. Guertin filed his initial forensic analysis into the court record on a Friday afternoon, locking the evidence into the judicial system before any back-end alterations could occur.

He would not examine the downloaded files in detail for another year. When he finally did, in the spring of 2025, he built them into a structured PostgreSQL database. The database grew. And grew.

Metric	Value
Total cases in registry	9,146
Total court-filed PDFs	4,251
Total docket events	155,357
Fully scraped cases with docket data	2,903

Row-level SHA256 hashes	606,037
PDF internal objects extracted	35,326
Digital signatures analyzed	5,425
Text rows extracted	181,556
Database tables	53
Database views	11
Total rows (approximate)	~2.9 million
Forensic reports produced	62

Sixty-two forensic reports. Every one backed by reproducible SQL. Every statistic computed from a locked, frozen database that never changes. Every finding independently verifiable by any third party with database access.

Built by the person three evaluators called incompetent. Built by the person the court said could not understand legal proceedings. Built during the same period the system was generating progress reports, extension orders, and additional evaluation cycles — none of which addressed the evidence accumulating in the docket.

VI. THREE EVALUATIONS, DECLINING INDEPENDENCE

The three-part Rule 20 Fact-Check series (Rogstad, Milz, Cranbrook) systematically tested every claim each evaluator classified as delusional against the forensic record. The pattern that emerged is not a pattern of clinical rigor. It is a pattern of declining independence and expanding disregard for available evidence.

Metric	Rogstad (Mar 2023)	Milz (Jan 2024)	Cranbrook (Dec 2024)
Clinical contact hours	~2 (postdoc-led)	~2 (video)	0
Independent diagnosis	Partially	No (deferred)	No (inherited)
Patent verified	No	No	No
Engagement with factual claims	Partial	Zero	Zero
Collateral contacts (outside counsel)	1 (failed)	0	1 (case mgr)
Metadata author match	No ("GuzmanC")	No ("Hines, Anne")	No ("Hines, Anne")
Documents available in case set	8 (16 pp)	14 (35 pp)	88 (2,136 pp)
Circular reasoning instances	Partial	Present	3 explicit
Competency indicators documented	Some	7	7

The dependency chain shows declining clinical independence while the available evidence base expanded 6.3x between Milz and Cranbrook. By December 2024, there were 88 documents and

2,136 pages in the case set. Seventy-four documents and 2,101 pages had been filed between evaluations. None were reviewed by Cranbrook.

The third evaluator, Dr. Katheryn Cranbrook, is the Chief of Psychological Services for the 4th Judicial District — **the supervisor of the organizational unit that produced all three evaluations**. She removed Milz from the case due to “conflict of interest” after Guertin filed a federal lawsuit naming both prior evaluators — then assigned herself as the replacement. She conducted zero hours of clinical contact. Her diagnosis was explicitly “currently maintained based upon record review.” Her prognosis: “poor.”

Cranbrook’s treatment catch-22: **antipsychotics are prescribed as the only path to competency**; voluntary treatment is unlikely given the defendant’s documented (and factually supported) objections to the diagnosis; compulsory treatment is unavailable; therefore the prognosis is “poor.” The system has no exit.

Meanwhile, Cranbrook documented seven competency indicators within her own report: Vail Place compliance, employment, treatment engagement, symptom self-awareness, no acute symptoms, sophisticated legal output, and the expired civil commitment. None are discussed as mitigating the diagnosis.

Total independent clinical observation across three evaluations producing 27 months of competency proceedings: approximately four to five hours.

VII. THE WATCHERS

Every entity that Dr. Milz listed as evidence of persecutory delusion is independently documented in **DKIM-authenticated email data**. One hundred percent. The LinkedIn Search Timeline Report catalogs 597 searches of Guertin’s dormant, never-promoted, LinkedIn profile across 99 weekly notification emails spanning 35 months (December 2020 through November 2023). **The authentication pass rate is 100%.**

The temporal alignment between search spikes and case milestones is documented with mathematical precision:

Date	Case Event	LinkedIn Entity	Spike	Gap
Mar 19, 2021	Patent application filed	First 2σ spike (Mar 30)	17 / 2.44σ	+11 days
Jan 21, 2023	OFFENSE DATE	Forcepoint + 3GIMBALS + Fox	6	0 days
Jul 20, 2023	Petition for Judicial Commitment	ALL-TIME PEAK	25 / 4.22σ	0 days
Aug 4, 2023	MH Examiner’s Report	DARPA + Defense Intelligence Agency + US Indo-Pacific Cmd	7	0 days
Aug 10–11, 2023	Stayed Commitment Order	US State Department + USAF	18 / 2.67σ	+1 day
Nov 9–15, 2023	2nd R20 ordered (Nov 15)	Sphere Entertainment	17 / 2.44σ	–6 days

The probability of the all-time search peak (4.22 standard deviations above the mean) landing on the exact same day as the Petition for Judicial Commitment, by chance, is less than 0.003% under a normal distribution. **DARPA, the Defense Intelligence Agency, and U.S. Indo-Pacific**

Command appeared on the same day as the MH Examiner's Report. The **U.S. Department of State and United States Air Force** appeared one day after the Stayed Commitment Order.

Two of the presiding judicial officers have publicly documented military backgrounds, per their official mncourts.gov biography pages: **Judge William H. Koch served as a JAG Officer in the United States Air Force** (1988–1991) at Andrews AFB. Referee **Danielle C. Mercurio served as a JAG Officer in the United States Army National Guard** (2011–2020), receiving the Army Commendation Medal and Meritorious Service Medal. Mercurio was appointed April 19, 2021 — 28 days after the InfiniSet patent provisional filing.

This report does not assert surveillance, targeting, or coordination. It documents three independently verifiable data streams — a patent's military applications, authenticated LinkedIn search activity, and judicial officer military backgrounds — that converge on the same timeline. Each stream is verifiable from its original source.

VIII. FOUND COMPETENT. THEN NOT.

March 5, 2025: Koch's Hearing

The first contested competency hearing in the case. Guertin testified under oath for approximately 40 of 60 transcript pages. Judge Koch conducted direct examination on competency elements. The March 5 Hearing Claims Analysis (Report 4/10) extracted 47 testable claims.

Koch found Guertin competent on April 3, 2025. The order is the first MSIP-labeled document in the entire 4,251-document corpus — 34 total MSIP documents exist across thousands of filings. Koch simultaneously found Cranbrook's mental illness conclusion "credible" while finding Guertin competent — based solely on his testimony, overriding the expert's "poor" prognosis.

April 17, 2025: Hudleston's 19 Minutes

New judge. Nineteen-minute hearing. Defense counsel Emmett Donnelly tells Judge Hudleston he "does not concur" with Koch's competency finding. Donnelly declines to adopt or pursue Guertin's 50-page Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice. Guertin requests discharge of counsel.

April 21–28, 2025: The Filing Tsunami

On April 21, Guertin filed a 110-page Petition to Proceed as Pro Se Counsel, citing 53 case law authorities and 9 statutes with 53+ hyperlinked footnotes.

On April 28, he filed 61 documents comprising 8,075 pages across 50 docket indexes. This is the largest single-day filing event in the entire MCRO corpus. The next largest single-day filing from any other case in the 4,251-document database is 10 files totaling 12 pages.

Rank	Case	Filing Date	Files	Pages
1	27-CR-23-1886 (Guertin)	2025-04-28	61	8,075
2	27-CR-23-1886 (Guertin)	2025-05-29	21	911
3	27-CR-23-1886 (Guertin)	2024-05-15	14	469
4	27-CR-23-1886 (Guertin)	2024-05-10	11	488

5	27-CR-21-3797 (other)	2023-10-13	10	12
---	-----------------------	------------	----	----

The top four largest single-day filing events in the entire MCRO corpus are all from the case of the person the system calls incompetent.

April 29, 2025: The Override

A twenty-two-minute hearing. Judge Hudleston denies the pro se petition. Orders a fourth Rule 20.01 evaluation. Koch's competency finding — the only finding based on extended direct testimony — is overridden in 26 days.

At the time this order was entered, 173 documents comprising 11,072 pages of the defendant's own forensic work were before the court. The 110-page petition citing 53 case law authorities. The 8,075-page evidence filing. The forensic reports with reproducible SQL. The authenticated email chains. The patent documentation. All of it was in the docket.

The order entered 26 days after Koch's competency finding does not address any of this material.

IX. THE MACHINE

The MCRO forensic database does not just document what happened in case 27-CR-23-1886. It documents the system that processed it. Across 62 forensic reports covering digital signatures, document cloning, docket anomalies, font forensics, corpus-wide statistical patterns, and incompetency order production, a consistent picture emerges.

Template-Driven Order Production

The Finding of Incompetency Clones report analyzed 157 incompetency-related documents in the corpus. Of these, 118 **(75.2%) contain zero unique text** — meaning every word in those documents appears in at least one other document. The orders are produced from templates, not from individualized judicial analysis. Case 27-CR-23-1886 is identified as "Outlier A" in the Cloned Discovery Template report: the one case where 78% of the text is unique. The defendant wrote his own motions.

Digital Signature Architecture

The Digital Signature Authentication and ESolutions Signature Statistical Breakdown reports document the corpus-wide signature infrastructure. The Cloned Judicial Signature on First Rule 20 Order report confirms a SHA256-identical judicial signature on Guertin's first Rule 20 order — meaning the byte-level signature data was duplicated, not independently generated.

Mental Health Over-Representation

The Mental Health Over-Representation report documents that **86.5% of the MCRO seed cases carry Rule 20 events**. The national baseline for criminal defendants found incompetent is 0.4–2.4%. The corpus-level rate is **36x to 216x higher**. The MH Case Events Comprehensive report catalogs 787 mental health dockets, 31,795 events, 249 event types, and 99 judicial officers — with 79.1% of events lacking any judicial officer attribution.

The 12-Officer Rotation

Thirteen judicial officers have touched case 27-CR-23-1886 across 246 events. The Docket Anomaly reports document the rotation pattern: Judge Quam, listed as judge of record, appears on only 3 events (all Notice of Hearing). Julia Dayton Klein has 13 events spanning 2.3 years. The case has been touched by 3 referees and 7 judges.

X. WHAT “INCOMPETENT” LOOKS LIKE

While three evaluators were classifying his claims as delusions and declaring him unable to understand legal proceedings, the subject of those evaluations was producing the following:

Metric	Value
Forensic database (PostgreSQL)	~2.9 million rows / 53 tables / 11 views
Court-filed PDFs ingested and analyzed	4,251
Forensic reports produced (SQL-backed)	62
DKIM email authentications (100% pass)	99 emails / 597 searches verified
Pro se petition (case law authorities cited)	53 (plus 9 statutes, 53+ footnotes)
Motion to Dismiss (testable claims)	42 claims / 66.7% verified accuracy
April 28, 2025 evidence filing	61 documents / 8,075 pages / ~934 MB
Total pro se output in criminal docket	77+ documents / 8,832+ pages
Federal civil rights lawsuit filed	11 defendants named
Patent prosecution actions	USPTO third-party prior art submission
MN Court of Appeals case	Completed May 31, 2024
Notices of appeal filed (May 29, 2025)	18

The database is not a collection of downloaded files. It is an engineered analytical system with foreign key relationships, CTE-based percentile calculations, SHA256 hash verification at the row level, and 11 purpose-built views. The reports are not summaries. They contain reproducible SQL queries in every appendix. The email authentications are not assertions. They are cryptographic proofs.

The 110-page pro se petition cites *Faretta v. California* correctly, uses proper legal citation format, constructs a structured argument across 53 case law authorities, and includes hyperlinked URLs in its footnotes. The Motion to Dismiss contains 42 testable factual claims; 66.7% were independently verified as accurate by the Motion to Dismiss Content Context Analysis (Report 6/10).

The word “incompetent” means, under Minnesota law, that the defendant “lacks the ability to rationally consult with counsel; or lacks the ability to understand the proceedings or participate in the defense.”

The database that proved the system's own patterns was built by the person the system says cannot understand it.

XI. CONVERGENCE

The Capstone Convergence Target Report documents what happens when you map every analytical axis in the 62-report corpus onto a single case. Every axis converges on the same target: case 27-CR-23-1886.

Analytical Domain	Finding	Source Report(s)
Highest document count	200 PDFs / 12,077 pages (100th %ile)	Narrative Arc 1/10
Highest event count	246 events (100th %ile)	Discovery Fraud Chronology
Largest single-day filing	61 files / 8,075 pp (corpus record)	Pro Se Petition 8/10
Top 4 largest filing days	ALL from this case	DB-verified
Most judicial officers	13 distinct (top of corpus)	Docket Anomaly Part 1
First MSIP document in corpus	Koch competency order	Koch Report 5/10
Outlier in discovery template	78% unique text ("Outlier A")	Cloned Discovery Template
Highest R20 event count tier	29 events (94.3rd %ile)	DB-verified
Patent cited on competitor patent	USPTO References Cited	InfiniSet vs Netflix
LinkedIn 4.22σ spike alignment	Same day as commitment petition	LinkedIn Timeline
Zero dispositions at 1,142 days	Longest open case in subset	Case Update Mar 2026
Transcript contradictions documented	Substance use fabricated	Milz Transcript Supplement
SHA256 signature cloning confirmed	First R20 order	Cloned Judicial Signature
5 evaluation cycles ordered	Most in corpus subset	Guertin R20 Analysis

The probability that the same case would independently rank at or near the top of every analytical dimension — document volume, event count, filing magnitude, judicial officer rotation, Rule 20 activity, template outlier status, and signature anomalies — by coincidence is not a number worth computing. **It is not coincidence. It is convergence. And the convergence has a name.**

XII. THE QUESTION

This is what the record shows, stripped to its bones:

A man invented a technology and received a United States patent. A competitor filed a near-identical patent twelve days later. That competitor is a corporation valued at over \$200 billion. The inventor's dormant LinkedIn profile was searched 597 times by defense, intelligence, and entertainment entities, with the all-time peak falling on the exact day the state filed for his civil commitment. Three evaluators classified his claims about the patent, the competitor, and the surveillance as delusions. Not one attempted to verify any of them. The first evaluator told the prosecution that even proof she was wrong would not change her opinion. The second evaluator fabricated substance use claims contradicted by his own recorded interview. The third never met him.

A judge who heard 40 pages of sworn testimony found him competent. Twenty-six days later, a different judge ordered a fourth evaluation. At that moment, 173 documents comprising 11,072 pages of independently verifiable forensic evidence — including the very database and reports that document these facts — were in the court record. The order does not address any of it.

As of this writing, 1,142 days have elapsed since the case was filed. There have been 246 docket events, 13 judicial officers, 5 competency evaluation cycles, and zero dispositions. The case is open. The charges are unchanged. No trial date has ever been set.

The person the system spent three years calling incompetent built a 2.9-million-row forensic database from court records, produced 62 reproducible analytical reports, authenticated 99 emails via DKIM cryptographic verification, filed the largest single-day evidence submission in the corpus, wrote a 110-page legal petition citing 53 case law authorities, and documented every pattern described in this report.

If a verified patent, an independently modeled market exceeding \$85 billion, a near-identical competitor patent filed 12 days later by a \$200B+ corporation, 597 cryptographically authenticated surveillance events, 62 reproducible forensic reports, and 8,075 pages of evidence filed into the court record do not establish competency — what would?

This question is not rhetorical. Every item in it is independently verifiable. The data is public. The database is frozen. The SQL is in the appendix of every report. And the person who built it all is still waiting for his day in court.

APPENDIX A: KEY REPORTS REFERENCED

Report	Primary Focus	Sections Cited
Rule 20 Fact-Check Rogstad (Pt 1)	Rogstad evaluation claim verification	III, VI
Rule 20 Fact-Check Milz (Pt 2)	Milz evaluation claim verification	IV, VI
Milz Transcript Verification Supplement	Recording vs. written report contradictions	IV, VI
Rule 20 Fact-Check Cranbrook (Pt 3)	Cranbrook evaluation claim verification	VI
Guertin Rule 20 Competency Analysis	Cross-evaluation dependency chain	VI, VIII
LinkedIn Search Timeline	597 searches, DKIM authentication	III, VII
Military Simulation Alignment	Patent-military-LinkedIn convergence	VII
InfiniSet vs Netflix Patent Analysis	Patent overlap documentation	II
US Patent 11577177 Financial Valuation	Market sizing and valuation	II
Patent Trademark Chronological	45 IP events across 8 jurisdictions	II
Discovery Fraud Evidence Chain (2/10)	Discovery manipulation chronology	VIII
Email Evidence Carpenter Donnelly (3/10)	41 DKIM emails, 68-day blackout	VIII
March 5 Hearing Claims (4/10)	47 claims from Koch hearing	VIII
Koch Competency Order Forensics (5/10)	First MSIP document in corpus	VIII
Motion to Dismiss (6/10)	42 claims, 66.7% verified	VIII
April 17 Hearing Claims (7/10)	19-minute hearing analysis	VIII
Pro Se Petition Evidence Filing (8/10)	110-page petition + 8,075-page filing	VIII, X
April 29 Hearing Claims (9/10)	22-minute hearing, 4th R20 ordered	VIII
Emergency Motions Synthesis (10/10)	Emergency motions, series synthesis	VIII
Finding of Incompetency Clones	157 docs, 75.2% zero unique text	IX
Cloned Discovery Template	Guertin = Outlier A (78% unique)	IX
Cloned Judicial Signature First R20	SHA256 signature duplication	IX
Digital Signature Authentication	Corpus-wide signature analysis	IX
Mental Health Over-Representation	86.5% R20 rate vs 0.4–2.4% national	IX
Docket Anomaly (Parts 1–2)	12-officer rotation, MSIP anomalies	IX
MH Case Events Comprehensive	787 MH dockets, 31,795 events	IX
Capstone Convergence Target	Cross-report convergence on one case	XI
Discovery Fraud Competency Chronology	246 events, full R20 timeline	All
Guertin Case Update Mar 8, 2026	Current status: 259 events, 5th R20 ordered	All

APPENDIX B: VERIFICATION LEDGER

Metric	Prior Report Value	Fresh DB Value	Match
Total docket events	246	246	
Total PDFs	200	200	
Total pages	12,077	12,077	
Distinct judicial officers	13	13	
Rule 20 events	29	29	
R20 percentile (among R20 cases)	94.9th	94.3rd	≈ (method variance)
Event percentile (all cases w/ PDFs)	100th	100th	
PDF percentile	100th	100th	
Apr 28, 2025 filing: files	61	61	
Apr 28, 2025 filing: pages	8,075	8,075	
MSIP documents in corpus	34	34	
Corpus: total cases	9,146	9,146	
Corpus: total PDFs	4,251	4,251	
Corpus: total events	155,357	155,357	
Days since filing	1,139+ (as of Mar 8)	1,142 (as of Mar 11)	
Case status	Open	Open	
Dispositions	0	0	

APPENDIX C: KEY SQL QUERIES

C1 — Case Overview Statistics

```
SELECT COUNT(*) as total_events FROM parents_case_events WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886';
SELECT COUNT(*) as total_pdfs, SUM(pdf_page_count) as total_pages FROM children WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886';
SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT judicial_officer_json__judicial_officer_norm) FROM
parents_case_events_judicial_officers WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886';
```

C2 — Rule 20 Events

```
SELECT COUNT(*) FROM parents_case_events WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886' AND event_name ~*
'(rule.?20|incompeten|competenc|found.?incomp|forensic.?nav)';
```

C3 — Percentile Rankings (CTE-based)

```
WITH case_stats AS (
  SELECT ce.case_uid, ce.cnt as event_count, cp.pdf_count,
    ROUND((100 * PERCENT_RANK() OVER (ORDER BY ce.cnt))::numeric, 1) as event_pctile
  FROM (SELECT case_uid, COUNT(*) as cnt FROM parents_case_events GROUP BY case_uid) ce
  JOIN (SELECT case_uid, COUNT(*) as pdf_count FROM children GROUP BY case_uid) cp ON ce.case_uid
    = cp.case_uid
)
SELECT * FROM case_stats WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886';
```

C4 — Largest Single-Day Filings (Corpus)

```
SELECT c.case_uid, cr.case_id, c.filing_date, COUNT(*) as file_count, SUM(c.pdf_page_count) as
page_total
FROM children c JOIN case_registry cr ON c.case_uid = cr.case_uid
GROUP BY c.case_uid, cr.case_id, c.filing_date ORDER BY file_count DESC LIMIT 5;
```

C5 — MSIP Document Count

```
SELECT COUNT(*) as msip_docs FROM children WHERE metadata__msip__has_msip_flag = true;
```

C6 — Criminal Charges

```
SELECT charge_json__charge_name, charge_json__level_of_charge, charge_json__offense_date,
charge_json__disposition
FROM parents_charges WHERE case_uid = 'case:27-cr-23-1886' ORDER BY charge_index;
```

APPENDIX D: EVALUATION CLAIM VERIFICATION SCORECARD

Evaluator	Claims Tested	Verified	Partially Verified	Not Supported	Contradicted
Rogstad (Mar 2023)	11 categories	4	4	2	0
Milz (Jan 2024)	19 claims	12	4	3	0
Cranbrook (Dec 2024)	7 claims	5	2	0	0
TOTALS	37	21 (56.8%)	10 (27.0%)	5 (13.5%)	0 (0.0%)

Across three evaluations spanning 21 months, zero claims classified as delusional have been affirmatively contradicted by the forensic record. Over 83% have verified or partially verified factual predicates.

APPENDIX E: DATA REPOSITORY & SOURCE FILES

The complete MCRO forensic corpus is publicly available for independent verification on two redundant platforms. The database is frozen and static — all published statistics are reproducible. All files include OpenTimestamps (OTS) provenance verification.

Resource	Storj .io	Proton Drive
BROWSABLE DIRECTORIES		
MCRO Master Directory <i>Master repository — all forensic reports, source data, database exports, and documentation</i>	Open Folder	Open Folder
PostgreSQL MCRO Database <i>Full PostgreSQL forensic database (53 tables, 2.9M+ rows) with README and restoration instructions</i>	Open Folder	Open Folder
Source Files <i>Original source file collection used to build the MCRO corpus</i>	Open Folder	Open Folder
Watermark Authentication <i>Authentication credentials, certificates, SHA-256 timestamp verification logs, and provenance chain</i>	Open Folder	Open Folder
DIRECT DOWNLOAD ARCHIVES (.zip)		
children__pdf.zip (2.7 GB) <i>All 4,251 children PDF source files with provenance, OTS stamps, and per-file reports</i>	Download	Download
children__pdftotext_strings.zip (8.2 MB) <i>Master extracted-text file (49.8 MB uncompressed) — source for hashpack rows and doc_strings searches</i>	Download	Download
dataset__json.zip (99.6 MB) <i>Full JSON dataset — 4,251 children + 2,903 parents + 4,251 hashpack + 14 source files (pretty-printed)</i>	Download	Download
parents__html.zip (42.2 MB) <i>Original parent HTML source files converted to JSON during initial corpus build</i>	Download	Download
parents__pdf.zip (452.2 MB) <i>All 2,903 parent PDF docket files downloaded from MCRO with full provenance chain</i>	Download	Download
source__hearings_cases.zip (113.8 MB) <i>All 14 source session folders with files, reports, and the initial 50-docket pilot download set</i>	Download	Download